



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,000		12/11/2003	Robert Brown	VW1.P01	9849
21792	7590	04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
STRATTO 213 S 12TH		EW	BELL, KENT L		
	AKIMA, WA 98902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1661	
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,000	BROWN, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kent L. Bell	1661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	. 1 /					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1: led 12/11/03						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🛣 Claim(ぢ) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(ø) <u>1</u> is/ are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) 🗙 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 12/11/93 are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

K. Z.Bell

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Priority

Applicant sets forth on the Bibliographic Data Sheet that this application claims benefit of application number 60/435,131 filed 12/19/2002. The prior application needs to be listed in the Declaration and in the first paragraph of the specification (MPEP 201.11).

Objection to the Disclosure

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

A. Page 1, lines 6 and 7, Applicant states there are no related applications. However, applicant states on the Bibliographic Data Sheet that the instant application claims benefit of application 60/435,131 filed 12/19/2002. These recitations are contradictory. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

Page 4

Serial Number: 10/734,000

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

B. Page 2, lines 6-8, Applicant states scionwood from the original mutated branch was grafted onto two established trees. Applicant should set forth in the specification the name of the two established trees and state whether the two established trees were of the same variety or

different.

C. Page 2, lines 8 and 9, Applicant states "fruit from the grafted trees is identical to that of the mutated branch of the original tree...thus establishing the trueness to type of the mutation".

The entire tree must reproduce true to type through successive generations of asexual

reproduction not just the fruit. Applicant should verify whether or not the instant tree reproduces

true to type through successive generations of asexual reproduction. If such is the case, applicant

should state in the specification - - 'Brown' reproduces true to type through successive

generations of asexual reproduction.- -.

D. Page 2, line 10, Applicant should delete "Van Well Nursery," as this recitation

constitutes unwarranted advertising (MPEP 1610). The current recitation without the recitation

stated above is more than adequate.

E. Page 2, line 16, Applicant states "possibly flavor". Either the instant tree's fruit is

distinct from the parental tree's fruit or it isn't. The specification is a place for factual information

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

not a place for something that may or may not be true or accurate. If the instant tree's fruit flavor

is distinct from the parental cultivar then such should be stated in the specification. If the instant

tree's fruit flavor is not distinct from the parental cultivar then such should be stated in the

specification. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

F. Page 2, line 16, Applicant states "storage quality is thought to be longer". Either the

instant tree's fruit storage quality is longer from the parental tree's fruit or it isn't. The

specification is a place for factual information not a place for something that may or may not be

true or accurate. If the instant tree's fruit storage quality is longer from the parental cultivar then

such should be stated in the specification. If the instant tree's fruit storage quality is not longer

from the parental cultivar then such should be stated in the specification. Correction and/or

clarification is necessary.

G. Page 3, line 5, Applicant states Fig. 2 illustrates the fruit. However, it is uclear

whether the fruit illustrated is for 'Bing' or 'Brown'. Clarification is necessary.

H. Page 3, line 9, Applicant states "'BC 13S-2009'". However, when compared to

Figure 5, Applicant states "135-2009". It is uncertain if the two cultivars stated are one and the

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

same. Correction and/or clarification is necessary. If they are then the specification should state whey there is a discrepancy in the names set forth.

- I. Page 3, line 14, Applicant states "original" tree. It is unclear whether applicant intends "original" tree to mean the originally grafted tree or something else. Clarification is necessary.
- J. Page 3, lines 13-15, Applicant should set forth in the specification the age of the tree when described.
- K. Page 3, line 21, Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed tree shape.
- L. Page 3, line 22, Applicant states tree size is "Large, identical to 'Bing". Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed mature tree height or height at a specified age. The recitation "Large, identical to 'Bing" is vague and insufficient in this regard, especially as applicant has not set forth any meaningful comparative data for the 'Bing' cultivar. In addition, applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed tree diameter.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

M. Page 4, line 1, Applicant states "Vigorous". Applicant should quantify "vigorous" such as by setting forth in the specification the typical and observed amount of growth per season. The recitation "Vigorous" is vague and insufficient in this regard.

N. Page 4, line 6, Applicant states productivity is "Good, similar to 'Bing'". Applicant should set forth in the specification meaningful information relative to the instant tree's productivity such as the amount of fruit produced per harvest. The recitation "Good, identical to 'Bing'" is vague and insufficient in this regard, especially as applicant has not set forth any meaningful comparative data for the 'Bing' cultivar.

- O. Page 4, lines 15 and 16, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant tree's branches including the typical and observed branch length.
- P. Page 5, lines 4-21, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant tree's leaves including the typical and observed leaf base descriptor.

Page 8

Serial Number: 10/734,000

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Q. Page 5, line 22 to page 6, line 5, Applicant should set forth in the specification

additional information relative to the instant tree's flower buds including the typical and observed

coloration with reference to the employed color chart.

R. Page 6, line 8, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information

relative to the instant tree's flower including the typical and observed depth.

S. Page 6, line 11, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information

relative to the instant tree's petals including the typical and observed number per flower, shape,

length, width, apex, base, and margin descriptors, and coloration (both surfaces) with reference to

the employed color chart.

T. Applicant should set forth in the specification information relative to the instant tree's

peduncle including the typical and observed peduncle length, diameter, and coloration with

reference to the employed color chart.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

U. Page 6, line 15, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information

relative to the instant tree's pedicel including the typical and observed pedicel diameter, and

coloration with reference to a recognized color chart.

V. Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed number of

pistils per flower.

W. Page 7, line 1, Applicant states "(8-1/2 to 9 row)". This recitation is not understood.

Clarification is necessary.

X. Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the

instant tree's fruit including the typical and observed fruit cavity depth, and breadth, and fruit

number per cluster.

Y. Page 7, lines 9 and 10, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional

information relative to the instant tree's fruit stem including the typical and observed length,

diameter, and coloration with reference to the employed color chart.

Page 10

Serial Number: 10/734,000

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Z. Page 10, The Claim, The Claim should be drawn to the instant tree and typically as

"illustrated and described" (MPEP 1605). Applicant should set forth a new claim such as -- A

new and distinct variety of Cherry tree named 'Brown', as illustrated and described. - -.

AA. Applicant should provide an additional photographic illustration of the entire tree, if

readily available. If provided, applicant should describe it in the specification.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicant should carefully review the disclosure

and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically

identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is

sought.

Claim Rejection

35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being

supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in

the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Comments

Applicant should note the new amendment format which is now mandatory (Web site stated below).

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/revamdtprac.htm

Applicant should send all correspondence to the following address:

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0973. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (571) 272-0974.

The fax phone number for the group is (571) 272-1600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

K. L. Bell

KENT BELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kent Bell